PROCEEDINGS

OF THE

BIOLOGICAL SOCIETY OF WASHINGTON

NOTE ON THE NAMES OF A FEW SOUTH AMERICAN MAMMALS.

BY J. A. ALLEN.

A recent examination of G. Fischer's 'Zoognosia' (Vol. III, 1814), shows that a number of the names currently attributed to later authors originated with Fischer; also that a few of Fischer's names for South American mammals antedate those of Wied and Schinz.' Among the former may be mentioned Felis eyra, Nasua rufa, and Nasua fusca, usually attributed to Desmarest, 1820, but all date from Fischer 1814; also Dasypus villosus, attributed to Desmarest 1819, dates from Fischer 1814. Nasua socialis Wied, 1826, is antedated by Nasua sociabilis Schinz, 1821.

Dasypus cilliatus Fischer, 1814, antedates Dasypus patagonicus Demarest 1819. This species will consequently stand as Zaëdyus cilliatus (G. Fischer).

A comparison of Schinz's 'Thierreich', 1821, with Wied's 'Reise nach Brasilien', 1822, and Wied's Beiträge zur Naturgeschicte von Brasilien' (II, 1826) shows that Schinz was the first to publish a number of the names attributed by him to Wied, and since thus generally accredited. Apparently not only Schinz, Kuhl, and Temminek had access to Wied's collections but in many cases adopted and published his manuscript names several years before Wied published them himself,

so that the author for the name is, in many cases, not Wied, as usually given, but Schinz, Kuhl, or Temminck. In some cases, however, the names used by these authors differ from those adopted later by Wied; for example, Desmodus rufus Wied is antedated by Rhinolophus ecaudatus Schinz, so that the name Desmodus rufus Wied should give place to Desmodus ecaudatus (Schinz). Felis wiedi Schinz, 1821, antedates Felis macroura Wied, 1826. Canis azara Wied, 1826, is also antedated by Canis brasiliensis Schinz, 1821, although the name Canis brasiliensis is attributed by Schinz to "Neuwied". Schinz also employes the name Felis brasiliensis (ex Wied) for the Black Jaguar, previously named Felis nigra by Erxleben which Wied finally did not see fit to designate by a technical name. But Felis brasiliensis Schinz renders untenable Felis brasiliensis F. Cuvier, 1828, applied to another animal.

It may be further noted in this connection that in all probability Vespertilio villosissimus E. Geoffroy, 1807, based on the Chauve-souris septième of Azara, will have to be adopted for the Bat named Vespertilio bonariensis Lesson & Garnot, 1820, and now commonly known as Lasiurus bonariensis, but which should stand as Lasiurus villosissimus. That Azara's Chauve-souris septième is not referable to the Lasiurus cinerens group, as stated by Mr. Thomas (Ann. and Mag. Nat. Hist., (7) Vol. VIII, Nov., 1901, p. 435), is evident from its small size, which barely equals that of an average example of L. borealis.

As is well known, Dr. J. E. Gray gives many new names to mammals in Volume V (1827) of Griffith's 'Animal Kingdom', most of which are duly cited in synonomy, but some appear to have escaped notice. Gray divided the genus Vampyrus into three genera, which he named Vampyrus, Istiophorus, and Tonatia. Vampyrus is restricted to V. spectrum; Istiophorus is preoccupied by Lacépède for a genus of fishes, and has been replaced by Gray's latter name Trachops; Tonatio has for its type and only species V. bidens Spix, and is thus the exact equivalent of Mr. Thomas's subgenus Vampyressa (1900). These divisions of Vampyrus established by Gray in 1827 appear to have been overlooked by later systematic writers.*

^{*}Since writing the above my attention has been called to the fact that Dr. T. S. Palmer, in 1898, called attention to Gray's treatment of Vampyrus (cf. Proc. Biol. Soc. Wash. XII, 1898, p. 111).

Another name proposed by Gray in the same work (Griffith's An. King. V, 1827, 228), is Sicista, which has as its type and only species Mus subtilus Pallas, which is also the type of the later Sminthus Keys. & Bl., 1840. The species currently referred to Sminthus will thus stand as follows: (1) Sicista subtilus (Pallas); (2) Sicista concolor (Büchn); (3) Sicista lathemi (Thomas); (4) Sicista flavus (True). It also follows that the subfamily named Sminthinæ must give place to Sicistinæ.